

Nilpotent Operators

A linear operator $T: V \rightarrow V$ is said to be nilpotent if $T^k = 0$ for some +ve integer k . The least positive k such that $T^k = 0$ is known as index of nilpotency of T .

Moreover, a square matrix is said to be nilpotent if $A^k = 0$ for some +ve integer k . The least positive k such that $A^k = 0$ is known as index of A .

So A annihilates $\lambda^k = 0$ for least k minimal polynomial $= t^k$, where k is the index of nilpotency.

characteristic polynomial $= t^n$, $\dim V = n$. Clearly 0 is the only eigenvalue of A .
 $\therefore$ trace of a nilpotent matrix is 0 .

The matrix $N = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ is called Jordan Nilpotent $k \times k$ block.

Jordan block matrix is written as

① $A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow A^2 = 0$.
 index of $A = 2$

② $A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 2 & 1 & 6 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow A^4 = 0$
 $\& A^3 \neq 0, A^2 \neq 0$.

index of $A = 4$.

$$J(\lambda) = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda & 1 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & \lambda & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & \lambda \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\Rightarrow J(\lambda) = \lambda I + N.$$

Ex $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 3 \\ 5 & 2 & 6 \\ -2 & -1 & -3 \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow A^3 = 0 \Rightarrow A^4 = A^5 = \dots = 0$
 $\therefore$ index of matrix $A = 3$.

Theorem 1: Let $T: V \rightarrow V$ be a linear operator. If for $v \in V$, $T^k(v) = 0$ but $T^{k-1}(v) \neq 0$. Prove that

- (i) The set $S = \{v, T(v), \dots, T^{k-1}(v)\}$ is linearly independent.
- (ii) The subspace W generated by S is T -invariant.
- (iii) The restriction $\tilde{T}$ of T on W is nilpotent of index k .
- (iv) Relative to the basis $\{T^{k-1}(v), \dots, T(v), v\}$ of W , the matrix of T is the k -square Jordan nilpotent block N_k of index k .

Proof: (i) Suppose $\alpha, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_{k-1} \in F$ such that

$$\alpha v + \alpha_1 T(v) + \alpha_2 T^2(v) + \dots + \alpha_{k-1} T^{k-1}(v) = 0 \quad \text{--- ①}$$

Operate both side of ① by T^{k-1} , we get

$$\alpha T^{k-1}(v) = 0 \quad \} \because T^{k-1}(v) \neq 0 \Rightarrow T^{k-1}(v) = 0, \dots \}$$

$$\Rightarrow \alpha = 0 \quad \} \because T^{k-1}(v) \neq 0 \}$$

Again operate both side of ① by T^{k-2} , we get

$$\alpha T^{k-2}(v) + \alpha_1 T^{k-1}(v) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \alpha_1 T^{k-1}(v) = 0 \quad \} \because \alpha = 0 \}$$

$$\Rightarrow \alpha_1 = 0 \quad \} \because T^{k-1}(v) \neq 0 \}$$

Continuing the process, we get

$$\alpha = \alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \dots = \alpha_{k-1} = 0.$$

Thus S is linearly independent.

(ii) Given $W = L(S)$

Let $w \in W$. Then $w = \beta v + \beta_1 T(v) + \dots + \beta_{k-1} T^{k-1}(v)$

$$\Rightarrow T(w) = \beta T(v) + \beta_1 T^2(v) + \dots + \beta_{k-2} T^{k-1}(v) + \beta_{k-1} T^k(v)$$

$$\Rightarrow T(w) = \beta T(v) + \beta_1 T^2(v) + \dots + \beta_{k-2} T^{k-1}(v) \quad \} \because T^k(v) = 0$$

$$\therefore T(w) \in W.$$

Thus W is T -invariant.

(iii) Since $\tilde{T}$ is the restriction of T on W so

$$\tilde{T}(w) = T(w) \quad \text{for all } w \in W,$$

$$\Rightarrow \tilde{T}(\tilde{T}(w)) = \tilde{T}(T(w)) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \because W \text{ is } T\text{-invariant} \\ \text{So } T(w) \in W \end{array} \right.$$

$$\Rightarrow \tilde{T}^2(w) = T^2(w)$$

$$\text{In the similar way, } \tilde{T}^k(w) = T^k(w), \quad \forall w \in W$$

Since $\{v, T(v), \dots, T^{k-1}(v)\}$ generates W .

So for $i=0, 1, 2, \dots, k-1$

$$\tilde{T}^k(T^i(v)) = T^{k+i}(v) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \tilde{T}^k = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{Index of } \tilde{T} \geq k.$$

$$\text{But } \tilde{T}^{k-1}(v) = T^{k-1}(v) \neq 0$$

$$\therefore \text{Index of } \tilde{T} = k.$$

i.e. Nilpotency of $\tilde{T} = k$.

(iv) Since $\{T^{k-1}(v), T^{k-2}(v), \dots, T(v), v\}$ is a basis of W .

$$\text{Then } \tilde{T}(T^{k-1}(v)) = T^k(v) = 0$$

$$\tilde{T}(T^{k-2}(v)) = T^{k-1}(v) = 0 \cdot T^{k-1}(v) + 1 \cdot T^{k-2}(v) + \dots + 0 \cdot v$$

$$\tilde{T}(T^{k-3}(v)) = T^{k-2}(v) = 0 \cdot T^{k-1}(v) + 1 \cdot T^{k-2}(v) + \dots + 0 \cdot v$$

$$\tilde{T}(T(v)) = T^2(v) = 0 \cdot T^{k-1}(v) + 0 \cdot T^{k-2}(v) + \dots + 1 \cdot T(v) + 0 \cdot v$$

$$\tilde{T}(v) = T(v) = 0 \cdot T^{k-1}(v) + \dots + 1 \cdot T(v) + 0 \cdot v$$

$$\therefore [T]_S = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = N_k.$$

Result: Let $T: V \rightarrow V$ be linear and $X = \text{Ker } T^{i-2}$, $Y = \text{Ker } T^{i-1}$ and $Z = \text{Ker } T^i$. Then

(i) $X \subseteq Y \subseteq Z$.

(ii) Suppose $\{u_1, \dots, u_r\}$, $\{u_1, \dots, u_r, v_1, \dots, v_s\}$, $\{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_r, v_1, \dots, v_s, w_1, \dots, w_t\}$ are bases of X, Y, Z respectively. Show that $S = \{u_1, \dots, u_r, T(w_1), \dots, T(w_t)\}$ is contained in Y and is linearly independent.

Solution: (i) Let $x \in X = \text{Ker } T^{i-2} \Rightarrow T^{i-2}(x) = 0 \Rightarrow T^{i-1}(x) = T(0) = 0 \Rightarrow x \in \text{Ker } T^{i-1} = Y$
 $\therefore X \subseteq Y$. Similarly $Y \subseteq Z$. So $X \subseteq Y \subseteq Z$.

(ii) Let $z \in Z = \text{Ker } T^i \Rightarrow T^i(z) = 0 \Rightarrow T^{i-1}(T(z)) = 0 \Rightarrow T(z) \in \text{Ker } T^{i-1} \Rightarrow T(Z) \subseteq Y$.

To show S is linearly independent, we suppose S is linearly dependent. So for

$\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_t \in F$ (not all zero) s.t.

$$\alpha_1 u_1 + \dots + \alpha_r u_r + \beta_1 T(w_1) + \dots + \beta_t T(w_t) = 0.$$

If $\beta_i = 0 \forall i$ then $\alpha_i = 0 \forall i$ [$\because u_1, \dots, u_r$ are l.i.]

So at least one $\beta_i \neq 0$

Now $\beta_1 T(w_1) + \dots + \beta_t T(w_t) = (-\alpha_1) u_1 + \dots + (-\alpha_r) u_r \in X = \text{Ker } T^{i-2}$

$$\Rightarrow T^{i-2}(\beta_1 T(w_1) + \dots + \beta_t T(w_t)) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow T^{i-1}(\beta_1 w_1 + \dots + \beta_t w_t) = 0 \Rightarrow \beta_1 w_1 + \dots + \beta_t w_t \in \text{Ker } T^{i-1} = Y.$$

$$\Rightarrow \beta_1 w_1 + \dots + \beta_t w_t = \gamma_1 u_1 + \dots + \gamma_r u_r + \delta_1 v_1 + \dots + \delta_s v_s \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \{u_1, \dots, u_r, v_1, \dots, v_s\} \\ \text{is a basis of } Y \end{array} \right.$$

$$\Rightarrow \gamma_1 u_1 + \dots + \gamma_r u_r + \delta_1 v_1 + \dots + \delta_s v_s + (-\beta_1) w_1 + \dots + (-\beta_t) w_t = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \gamma_i = 0, \delta_j = 0, \beta_k = 0 \quad \forall i, j, k.$$

Thus we get a contradiction because $\beta_i \neq 0$, for at least one i .

So S is linearly independent.

Theorem 2: Let $T: V \rightarrow V$ be a nilpotent operator of index k . Then T has a unique block diagonal matrix representation consisting of Jordan nilpotent blocks N . There is at least one N of order k and all other are of orders $\leq k$. The total number of N of all orders is equal to the nullity of T .

Proof: Let V be n -dimensional vector space and $T: V \rightarrow V$ be a nilpotent operator of index k . Let $W_i = \text{Ker } T^i$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$.

$$\text{and } \dim W_i = m_i.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Suppose } v \in V \text{ then } T^k(v) &= 0 \\ \Rightarrow v &\in \text{Ker } T^k = W_k \\ \therefore V &\subseteq W_k \\ \Rightarrow W_k &= V. \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Also } W_{k-1} \neq V.$$

$$\therefore m_{k-1} < m_k = n.$$

By previous result, $W_1 \subseteq W_2 \subseteq \dots \subseteq W_k = V$ and if $\{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n\}$ is a basis of V , then $\{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{m_i}\}$ is a basis of W_i .

Choose a new basis of V for which we get the desired matrix representation of T as,

$$v(1, k) = u_{m_{k-1}+1}, v(2, k) = u_{m_{k-1}+2}, \dots, v(m_k - m_{k-1}, k) = u_{m_k}.$$

and setting,

$$v(1, k-1) = Tv(1, k), v(2, k-1) = Tv(2, k), \dots$$

$$v(m_k - m_{k-1}, k-1) = Tv(m_k - m_{k-1}, k)$$

Again by previous result,

$$S_1 = \{u_1, \dots, u_{m_{k-2}}, v(1, k-1), \dots, v(m_k - m_{k-1}, k-1)\}$$

is a linearly independent subset of W_{k-1} .

By extension theorem on basis, S_1 can be extended to form a basis of W_{k-1} by inserting new elements

$$v(m_k - m_{k-1} + 1, k-1), \dots, v(m_k - m_{k-2}, k-1).$$

Next, we set,

$$v(1, k-2) = Tv(1, k-1), v(2, k-2) = T(v(2, k-1)) \dots$$

$$v(m_{k-1} - m_{k-2}, k-2) = Tv(m_{k-1} - m_{k-2}, k-1)$$

Again by previous result,

$$S_2 = \{u_1, \dots, u_{k-3}, v(1, k-2), \dots, v(m_{k-1} - m_{k-2}, k-2)\}$$

is a linearly independent subset of W_{k-2} and it extends to form a basis of W_{k-2} by inserting elements,

$$v(m_{k-1} - m_{k-2} + 1, k-2), v(m_{k-1} - m_{k-2} + 2, k-2), \dots, v(m_{k-2} - m_{k-3}, k-2),$$

Continuing in the similar way, we get a new basis for V , which can be arranged as follows,

$$v(1, k), \dots, v(m_k - m_{k-1}, k)$$

$$v(1, k-1), \dots, v(m_k - m_{k-1}, k-1), \dots, v(m_{k-1} - m_{k-2}, k-1)$$

$$v(1, 2), \dots, v(m_k - m_{k-1}, 2), \dots, v(m_{k-1} - m_{k-2}, 2), \dots, v(m_2 - m_1, 2)$$

$$v(1, 1), \dots, v(m_k - m_{k-1}, 1), \dots, v(m_{k-1} - m_{k-2}, 1), \dots, v(m_2 - m_1, 1), \dots, v(m_1, 1)$$

The ~~last~~ row forms a basis of W_1 , the last two row forms a basis of W_2 and so on

This implies that

$$Tv(i,j) = \begin{cases} v(i,j-1) & , \text{ for } j > 1 \\ 0 & , \text{ for } j = 1 \end{cases}$$

It is clear from Theorem 1 (iv) that T have the desired form if the $v(i,j)$ are ordered lexicographically, begins with $v(1,1)$ ~~at~~ $v(1,k)$ in the first column then moving from $v(2,1)$ to $v(2,k)$ in the 2nd column and so on.

There will be exactly $m_k - m_{k+1}$ diagonal entries of order k .

Also, $(m_{k-1} - m_{k-2}) - (m_k - m_{k+1}) = 2m_{k-1} - m_k - m_{k-2}$ diagonal entries of order $k-1$

.....

$2m_2 - m_1 - m_3$ diagonal entries of order 2

$2m_1 - m_2$ diagonal entries of order 1.

We know that $m_i = \dim \ker T^i$ is ~~com~~ uniquely determined by T ; so the number of diagonal entries of each order is uniquely determined by T .

Since $m_1 = (m_k - m_{k+1}) + (2m_{k-1} - m_k - m_{k-2}) + \dots + (2m_2 - m_1 - m_3) + (2m_1 - m_2)$

$\Rightarrow m_1 = \text{Nullity } T$ is the total number of diagonal block of T .

Ex: $A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow A^3 = 0$ Index of $A = 3$
Rank $A = 2$
 $\therefore \text{Nullity } A = 5 - 2 = 3$.

So one Jordan Nilpotent block is of order 3 and other blocks are of order 1.

Note: Two matrices of same nilpotency have different number of Jordan nilpotent block and hence are not similar.